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## Overview



- Study objectives: understand the various corridor needs, potential future improvements to address those needs, and potential implementation timelines. Inform County led interchange reconstruction at Co. Rd. 50 and MnDOT led pavement project along I-35 planned in 2029
- Location: I35 from Co. Rd. 70 ( $210^{\text {th }}$ St W) to the I35W/I35E split in the Cities of Lakeville and Burnsville, Dakota County
- Study Scope:
- Public engagement
- Existing conditions evaluation
- Forecasting
- Simulation modeling
- Development and analysis of corridor concepts
- Traffic impacts: Future construction impacts TBD
- mndot.gov/metro/projects/i35burnsville-lakeville/
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## Purpose and Need

## Overview of Purpose and Need

- Primary needs are the transportation problems which led to the initiation of the project
- Vehicle safety
- Vehicle mobility
- Secondary needs are other transportation problems or opportunities for improvements within the area that may be addressed concurrently
- Bridge condition
- Pavement condition
- Additional considerations are desirable elements or effects that are not central to the purpose and need, but are important factors influencing project decisions
- Multimodal access (walkability/bikeability, and transit service)
- Not precluding Co. Rd. 5/50 interchange improvements


## Primary Need: Vehicle Safety



- Crash rate \& FAR rate do not exceed critical or critical FAR rate
O= Crash Rate exceeds critical rate
- Crash rate \& FAR rate exceed critical or critical FAR rate Fatal Crash
© Serious Injury Crash
๑๑๑Total Intersection Crashes
- Multiple segments have crash rates above critical
- Two segments have fatal and serious A (FAR) rates above critical
- Some ramps have rates above critical, but likely are not related to l-35
- I-35E southbound on-ramp crash rates during PM peak not above critical, but has high level of crashes likely caused by congestion on I-35


# Primary Needs: Vehicle Mobility 

- Northbound congestion during AM, southbound congestion during PM
- Based on origin-destination data, ClearGuide, RTMC, basic lane capacity analysis, and Level of Service (LOS)
- Some segments are LOS F \& E under existing conditions
- Congestion expected to become worse in future (2026 No Build \& 2046 No Build)

2046 No Build Operational Issues

| Time | Location | Direction | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | Co. Rd. 70 WB Entrance to Co. Rd. 60 Exit | Northbound | D |
|  | Co. Rd. 60 WB Exit to Co. Rd. 50 Exit | Northbound | F |
|  | Co. Rd. 50 Exit to Co. Rd. 50 Entrance | Northbound | D |
|  | Co. Rd. 50 Entrance to Bus Only P\&R Exit | Northbound | E |
|  | Bus Only P\&R Exit to Bus Only P\&R Entrance | Northbound | D |
|  | Co. Rd. 46 Entrance to I-35E/I-35W Split | Northbound | D |
| PM | I-35E/I-35W Split to Co. Rd. 46 Exit | Southbound | F |
|  | Co. Rd. 46 Exit to Co. Rd. 46 Entrance | Southbound | E |
|  | Co. Rd. 46 Entrance to Co. Rd. 60 Exit | Southbound | F |

## Secondary Needs

## - Pavement condition

- Current Pavement Quality Index (PQI) ratings range from "Good" to "Very Good" - but once deterioration happens it can worsen very rapidly
- Pavement project planned for 2029 (from Co. Rd. 70 to I-35E/I-35W split)

| Segment | Direction | Verbal Rating <br> (Ride Quality/ <br> Surface Rating) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I-35E/l-35W Split to <br> Co. Rd. 5/50 | SB | Very Good/ <br> Very Good |
| Co. Rd. 5/50 to Co. <br> Rd. 70 | SB | Good/ <br> Very Good |
| Co. Rd. 70 to l- <br> 35E/l-35W Split | NB | Good/ <br> Very Good |

## - Bridge condition

- Current National Bridge Inventory (NBI) ratings range from "Fair" to "Good"
- Anticipated work planned on 8 bridges (4 overlay, 4 replacement) 2028-2033


## Additional Considerations: Multimodal Access

- Walkability/bikeability (crossing I-35)
- As a limited access facility proposed improvements may not include ped/bike infrastructure and walkability/bikeability doesn't rise to the level of secondary need
- Text has been included to allow for consideration as a secondary need in a future project
- Transit Service
- Transit mobility will be accounted for with separate metrics under Vehicle Mobility
- This item considers access to transit (connections or access to transit stations, for example)


## Additional Considerations: Co. Rd. 5/50

- Not precluding Co. Rd. 5/50 interchange improvements
- Dakota County planned project
- Construction unfunded
- Pictured at right is a draft interchange and local road improvement concept



## Purpose and Need Evaluation Process

- Two-Step Process


## Step 1 <br> Screening Step 2

- Screening of the alternatives against the primary transportation needs (vehicle safety and vehicle mobility)
- Detailed evaluation of primary and secondary

Detailed Analysis needs, additional considerations, and potential social, economic, and environmental (SEE) impacts

## Step 1 Evaluation Criteria

- Step 1: Screening against primary needs

| Category | Evaluation Criteria | Measurement | Methodology/Tool | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary <br> Transportation <br> Needs | Vehicle <br> Safety | Crash Rate Reduction | Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) | - Yes: reduces crashes (CMF < 1.0) <br> - No: increases or doesn't change crashes (CMF >= 1.0) |
|  | Vehicle <br> Mobility | Volume-to-Capacity Ratio | Forecast Daily Volumes and Capacity | - Yes: improves capacity at locations where No Build is at or near capacity <br> - No: same or worse capacity at locations where No Build is at or near capacity |

D DEPARTMENT OF

## Public Engagement

## Public Engagement Update

- 1,423 online survey responses
- 212 online map comments
- 252 in-person event priority votes
- 124 in-person event comments
- 46 emails received


## 2,000+ people engaged



## Where are you typically going?

## Select all that apply.





## What methods of travel do you use most often?

Select all that apply.



# What are the top two types of improvements you'd like to see? 



## Is there anything specific that you'd like to see changed or improved? Anything else you'd like to share?



3/16/2023

| Location Code | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Kenwood Tr/CR 50/CR 5 | 285 | $16 \%$ |
| CR 70/210th/Juniper Way | 160 | $9 \%$ |
| E/W split | 134 | $7 \%$ |
| CR 60/185th | 127 | $7 \%$ |
| Other | 93 | $5 \%$ |
| SB (general) | 68 | $4 \%$ |
| CR 42/Eagan Dr | 63 | $3 \%$ |
| CR 46/162nd | 62 | $3 \%$ |
| 150th/Crystal Lake Rd | 48 | $3 \%$ |
| NB (general) | 45 | $2 \%$ |
| Buck Hill | 35 | $2 \%$ |
| E (general) | 19 | $1 \%$ |
| Buck Hill Rd | 16 | $1 \%$ |
| Kenrick Ave | 11 | $1 \%$ |


| Topic Code | Frequency Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Add lane(s) | 626 | $35 \%$ |
| Congestion | 472 | $26 \%$ |
| Ramps | 384 | $21 \%$ |
| Merging | 285 | $16 \%$ |
| Safety | 213 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | 94 | $5 \%$ |
| Speed | 74 | $4 \%$ |
| Interchange | 60 | $3 \%$ |
| Carpool lane | 60 | $3 \%$ |
| Ice/snow | 59 | $3 \%$ |
| Visibility | 54 | $3 \%$ |
| Freight | 54 | $3 \%$ |
| Transit | 53 | $3 \%$ |
| Navigation | 53 | $3 \%$ |
| Pavement | 51 | $3 \%$ |
| Positive | 47 | $3 \%$ |
| Pedestrians | 46 | $3 \%$ |
| Biking | 41 | $2 \%$ |
| Driver behavior | 33 | $2 \%$ |
| Signage | 32 | $2 \%$ |
| Noise | 18 | $1 \%$ |
| Fence/barrier | 18 | $1 \%$ |
| Environment | 10 | $1 \%$ |

## Demographics: Gender and Age

## Gender

(online survey only)


Age
(online survey only)


## Demographics: Location



## Demographics



## Race or ethnicity

## Demographics: Disability Status and Education Level

## Disability Status

(online survey only)


Education level
(online survey only)
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## Corridor Concepts

## Concept Development

## CONCEPT 1 <br> E-ZPass Lane <br> Add E-ZPass from Cliff Road to or through CSAH 5/50

| CONCEPT 3 |
| :--- |
| Auxiliary |
| Lanes |

## Concept Development: E-Zpass Example



## Next Steps

## Remaining Tasks

- Next steps
- Finalize Purpose and Need memo
- Complete Phase 2 evaluation
- Summarize results
- Share results


## Other South Area Projects

## I-35W Pavement and Bridge Preservation



1-35 Burnsville
——Project area

- Purpose: provide safe and smooth pavement for a projected 30-year duration with functioning drainage and safe bridges
- Location: I-35W from the I-35W/I-35E junction north to Cliff Road in the City of Burnsville, Dakota County and on TH 13 at I-35W
- Project Scope:
- Rehabilitate pavement and drainage
- Replace bridges: I-35W over Cliff, Hwy 13 over I-35W, Burnsville Pkwy over I-35W
- Install roadway lighting
- Improve bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure
- Construct spot mobility improvements
- Traffic Impacts: construction in 2025 and 2026
- mndot.gov/metro/projects/i35wburnsville/


## Southbound I-35W exit ramp to County Road 42



- Purpose: address safety, mobility, and access via altered I35W exit ramp
- Location: southbound I-35W at County Road 42
- Project Scope:
- Construct new southbound exit ramp
- Construct roundabout at Buck Hill Road
- Improvements at County Road 42 intersection
- Connection into Burnsville center Village redevelopment and ring road
- Traffic Impacts: construction in 2025 and 2026 as part of unbonded concrete overlay and bridge replacement project


## Hwy 77 Pavement Preservation



- Purpose: provide safe and smooth pavement for a projected 30-year duration with functioning drainage and safe bridges
- Location: Hwy 77 from 138th St through to the river bridge in the Cities of Apple Valley and Eagan, Dakota County
- Project Scope:
- Rehabilitate pavement and drainage
- Improve bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure
- Traffic Impacts: construction 2026 to 2028
- mndot.gov/metro/projects/hwy77applevalley-richfield/


## Hwy 13 Corridor

## RECOMMENDED ACCESS SCENARIO

P AI dakota. quentin. chowen. a nicoluet


- Vision: 2021 Environmental Assessment outlined longterm vision for improvements to address congestion, pedestrian/bicycle activity, and freight
- Location: Highway 13 from Nicollet Ave to Highway 169 in the Cities of Burnsville and Savage, Dakota County and Scott County
- Current Work: Highway 13 between Highway 101 interchange and Quentin Ave in Savage
- mndot.gov/metro/projects/hwy13savageburnsville/index.h tml



## Remaining Hwy 13 Vision

- Current Work: Local submission for Corridors of Commerce, announcement in June 2023
- Pictured and left and bottom are vision concepts for the remaining corridor



## Thank You!

Ryan Wilson

ryan.wilson@state.mn.us
651-775-4216

